



Solano Transportation Authority

SOLANO TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

Member Agencies:
Benicia ♦ Dixon ♦ Fairfield ♦ Rio Vista ♦ Suisun City ♦ Vacaville ♦ Vallejo ♦ Solano County

... working for you!

One Harbor Center, Suite 130, Suisun City, CA 94585-2473 ♦ Telephone (707) 424-6075 / Facsimile (707) 424-6074
Email: staplan@sta-snci.com ♦ Website: solanolinks.com

BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (BAC) MEETING AGENDA

6:30 – 8:00 p.m.

Wednesday, March 16, 2011
Solano Transportation Authority, Conference Room 1
One Harbor Center, Suite 130
Suisun City, CA 94585-2473

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>MEMBER/STAFF PERSON</u>
I. CALL TO ORDER/SELF INTRODUCTIONS	Larry Mork, Chair
II. CONFIRM QUORUM	Larry Mork, Chair
III. APPROVAL OF AGENDA	Larry Mork, Chair
IV. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT	Larry Mork, Chair
V. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES OF OCTOBER 7, 2010 <i>Recommendation:</i> <i>Approve STA BAC Meeting Minutes of January 13, 2011.</i> Pg. 1	Larry Mork, Chair
VI. PRESENTATIONS	James Loomis, City of Vacaville
A. Ulatis Creek from Leisure Town Road to Nut Tree Drive (6:35 – 6:55 p.m.)	
B. Bike To Work Day Activities (6:55 – 7:10 p.m.)	Judy Leaks, STA
VII. ACTION ITEMS	
A. Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Study <i>Recommendation:</i> <i>Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to</i> <i>adopt the SR 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle</i> <i>and Pedestrian Connections Plan.</i> (7:10 – 7:20 p.m.) Pg. :	Sara Woo, Associate Planner

STA BAC MEMBERS

Larry Mork	Ray Posey	Nancy Lund	Jim Fisk	David Pyle	Jane Day	Mick Weninger	Michael Segala	Barbara Wood
Chair City of Rio Vista	Vice Chair City of Vacaville	City of Benicia	City of Dixon	City of Fairfield	City of Suisun City	City of Vallejo	County of Solano	Member-At- Large

B. Solano Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan Update

Sara Woo,
Associate Planner

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to adopt the Solano Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan.

(7:20 – 7:35 p.m.)

Pg. 32

C. Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Call for Projects

Robert Macaulay, Director
of Planning

Recommendation:

Recommend that the STA Board include the projects and programs identified in the Countywide Bicycle Master Plan and Countywide Pedestrian Master Plan be submitted as a part of the STA RTP Project List in Programmatic Categories 1, 2 and 3.

(7:35 – 7:45 p.m.)

Pg. 34

D. Safe Routes to Transit (SR2T) Program and Advisory Committee Representatives

Robert Guerrero, Senior
Planner

Recommendation:

Appoint STA BAC members to represent the SR2T Advisory Committee (one to serve as member and other as alternate).

(7:45 – 7:50 p.m.)

Pg. 49

VIII. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS – NO DISCUSSION

A. 2011 Bike to Work Day Activities

Judy Leaks,
Program Manager

Informational

Pg. 4:

B. BikeLinks Map Update

Judy Leaks,
Program Manager

Informational

Pg. 28

C. Funding Opportunities

Sara Woo,
Associate Planner

Informational

Pg. 29

IX. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS & FUTURE AGENDA TOPICS

X. ADJOURNMENT

Larry Mork, Chair

The next regular meeting of the STA BAC is scheduled for Thursday May 5, 2011 at 6:30 p.m.

2011 BAC MEETING SCHEDULE

Please mark your calendars for these dates

May 5, 2011 (confirmed)

July 7, 2011 (confirmed)

September 1, 2011 (confirmed)

November 3, 2011 (confirmed)

Questions? Please contact STA staff, Sara Woo, (707) 399-3214, swoo@sta-snci.com



STA ACRONYMS LIST OF TRANSPORTATION TERMS

Last Updated: April 2009

A

ABAG	Association of Bay Area Governments
ACCMA	Alameda County CMA
ADA	American Disabilities Act
AVA	Abandoned Vehicle Abatement
APDE	Advanced Project Development Element (STIP)
ARRA	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act
AQMD	Air Quality Management District
ARRA	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act

B

BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BABC	Bay Area Bicycle Coalition
BAC	Bicycle Advisory committee
BART	Bay Area Rapid Transit
BATA	Bay Area Toll Authority
BCDC	Bay Conservation & Development Commission
BT&H	Business, Transportation & Housing Agency

C

CAF	Clean Air Funds
CALTRANS	California Department of Transportation
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CCCC (4'Cs)	City County Coordinating Council
CCCTA (3CTA)	Central Contra Costa Transit Authority
CCJPA	Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority
CCTA	Contra Costa Transportation Authority
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CHP	California Highway Patrol
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
CMA	Congestion Management Agency
CMAQ	Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Program
CMP	Congestion Management Plan
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
CTC	California Transportation Commission

D

DBE	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise
DOT	Department of Transportation

E

ECMAQ	Eastern Solano Congestion Mitigation Air Quality Program
EIR	Environmental Impact Report
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EV	Electric Vehicle

F

FEIR	Final Environmental Impact Report
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration

G

GIS	Geographic Information System
-----	-------------------------------

H

HIP	Housing Incentive Program
HOT	High Occupancy Toll
HOV	High Occupancy Vehicle

I

ISTEA	Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act
ITIP	Interregional Transportation Improvement Program
ITS	Intelligent Transportation System

J

JARC	Jobs Access Reverse Commute Program
JPA	Joint Powers Agreement

L

LEV	Low Emission Vehicle
LIFT	Low Income Flexible Transportation Program
LOS	Level of Service
LS&R	Local Streets & Roads

M

MIS	Major Investment Study
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization
MTC	Metropolitan Transportation Commission
MTS	Metropolitan Transportation System

N

NCT&PA	Napa County Transportation & Planning Agency
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHS	National Highway System

O

OTS	Office of Traffic Safety
-----	--------------------------

P

PAC	Pedestrian Advisory Committee
PCC	Paratransit Coordinating Council
PCRPP	Planning & Congestion Relief Program
PDS	Project Development Support
PDT	Project Delivery Team
PDWGG	Project Delivery Working Group

P

PMP	Pavement Management Program
PMS	Pavement Management System
PNR	Park & Ride
PPM	Planning, Programming & Monitoring
PS&E	Plans, Specifications & Estimate
PSR	Project Study Report
PTA	Public Transportation Account
PTAC	Partnership Technical Advisory Committee (MTC)

R

RABA	Revenue Alignment Budget Authority
RBWG	Regional Bicycle Working Group
RFP	Request for Proposal
RFQ	Request for Qualification
RM 2	Regional Measure 2
RPC	Regional Pedestrian Committee
RRP	Regional Rideshare Program
RTEP	Regional Transit Expansion Policy
RTIF	Regional Transportation Impact Fee
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
RTIP	Regional Transportation Improvement Program
RTPA	Regional Transportation Planning Agency

S

SACOG	Sacramento Area Council of Governments
SAFETEA-LU	Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equality Act-a Legacy for Users
SCTA	Sonoma County Transportation Authority
SCVTA	Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority
SFCTA	San Francisco County Transportation Authority
SHOPP	State Highway Operations & Protection Program



STA ACRONYMS LIST OF TRANSPORTATION TERMS

Last Updated: April 2009

SMAQMD	Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District
SMCCAG	San Mateo City-County Association of Governments
SNCI	Solano Napa Commuter Information
SOV	Single Occupant Vehicle
SP&R	State Planning & Research
SR2S	Safe Routes to School

SR2T	Safe Routes to Transit
STA	Solano Transportation Authority
STAF	State Transit Assistance Fund
STIA	Solano Transportation Improvement Authority
STIP	State Transportation Improvement Program
STP	Surface Transportation Program

T

TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TAM	Transportation Authority of Marin
TAZ	Transportation Analysis Zone
TCI	Transportation Capital Improvement
TCM	Transportation Control Measure
TCRP	Transportation Congestion Relief Program
TDA	Transportation Development Act
TDM	Transportation Demand Management
TE	Transportation Enhancement Program
TEA-21	Transportation Efficiency Act for the 21 st Century
TFCA	Transportation Funds for Clean Air Program
TIF	Transportation Investment Fund
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TLC	Transportation for Livable Communities
TMA	Transportation Management Association
TMP	Transportation Management Plan
TOS	Traffic Operation System
TRAC	Trails Advisory Committee
TSM	Transportation System Management

U, V, W, Y, & Z

UZA	Urbanized Area
VTA	Valley Transportation Authority (Santa Clara)
W2W	Welfare to Work
WCCTAC	West Costa County Transportation Advisory Committee
WETA	Water Emergency Transportation Authority
YSAQMD	Yolo/Solano Air Quality Management District
ZEV	Zero Emission Vehicle

Helpful Definitions for Bicycle Advisory Committee Members

Below is a list of terms and acronyms that you may encounter in technical reports, plans, data, informational materials, or conversations when working with STA staff.

Acronyms	(Note: These acronyms have not yet been added to the “STA Acronyms List of Transportation Terms”)
ARB:	Air Resources Board
ARRA:	American Recovery and Reinvestment Act
PDA:	Priority Development Area
RBWG:	Regional Bicycle Working Group
RPC:	Regional Pedestrian Committee
RBP:	Regional Bicycle Program
SBPP:	Solano Bicycle and Pedestrian Program

Planning Agencies

MTC (MPO):	The transportation planning, coordinating, and financing agency for the nine-county Bay Area
STA (CMA):	The transportation planning, coordinating and financing agency for the seven-city County of Solano
MPO:	Metropolitan Planning Agency; regional planning agency
CMA:	Congestion Management Agency; local countywide planning agency

Committees

BAC:	Advisory committee to STA for implementing the Countywide Bicycle Plan
RBWG:	Ad hoc advisory committee to MTC for implementing the Regional Bicycle Plan
PAC:	Advisory committee to STA for implementing the Countywide Pedestrian Plan
RPC:	Ad hoc advisory committee to MTC for addressing pedestrian-related issues in the Bay Area



Solano Transportation Authority

Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)

Meeting Minutes of

Thursday, January 13, 2011

6:30 – 8:00 p.m.

Solano Transportation Authority, Conference Room 1
One Harbor Center, Suite 130
Suisun City, CA 94585-2473

I. CALL TO ORDER

Vice-Chair Posey called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:	Ray Posey, Vice Chair Nancy Lund Jim Fisk David Pyle Jane Day Barbara Wood	City of Vacaville City of Benicia City of Dixon City of Fairfield City of Suisun City Member-At-Large
MEMBERS ABSENT:	Larry Mork, Chair Mick Weninger Mike Segala	City of Rio Vista City of Vallejo County of Solano
STAFF PRESENT:	Robert Guerrero Sara Woo	STA, Senior Planner STA, Planning Assistant
ALSO PRESENT:	<i>In Alphabetical Order by Agency:</i> Matt Tuggle Garland Wong Simone Hardy	Solano County Public Works City of Fairfield Solano County

II. CONFIRM QUORUM

A quorum was confirmed.

III. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

On a motion by Member Segala and second by Member Day, the BAC unanimously approved the agenda.

IV. OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

None presented.

V. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES OF OCTOBER 7, 2010

On a motion by Member Fisk, and a second by Member Lund, the BAC unanimously approved the minutes of October 7, 2010.

VI. PRESENTATIONS

A. Solano County Agriculture Department “Spray Safe Initiative

Solano County Agricultural Commissioner Jim Allan and Simone Hardy provided a brief presentation... last year there were many complains. She is here to ask for the committee’s help. Ask for the committee’s help, maybe in the form of a subcommittee to identify a system to reduce the number of incidents. Responsibility of growers to do it, even small gust of wind can send the information out onto a bike route. Growers interested in stewarding pesticides, etc. asked if they could bring non pesticide related. Moving past heavy agricultural equipment, people riding abreast (side by side and not being considerate), some people entering private property on the routes, and what can be done about that. Ms. Hardy expressed that she would like to find out if there is something we can do with the department, the agricultural advisory committee, and the BAC.

Member Pyle and Member Wood commented that riding abreast is okay, but according to etiquette cyclists should know when to ride single file. Suisun Valley Road is popular and grapes are grown where sulfur is used. Member Fisk pointed out that courtesy goes “both ways” as according to the BikeLinks Map.

Member Posey commented that complaints are likely regarding club/race cyclists, not average lone/commute riders. He further explained that large groups of people cycling together sometimes during events, can cause conflicts, however, that it is usually regulated by CHP. BAC members inquired about types of complaints. Ms. Hardy commented that some complaints include people urinating on property and disappearance of local agriculture crops (also known as grazing).

Ms. Hardy commented that there is no requirement for spraying to put flags on the majority level. Some pesticides require that signs are put up. She also noted that mostly the outside turns are when the exposure is to the rode. Riders have bypassed people who do spray.

Member Wood commented that rude people who bypass the flags are at fault and it might be a better solution to put flags up. Ms. Hardy explained that at least 5 or 6 incidents of cyclists

being exposed to the drift and that County staff are trying to find solutions to prevent that. She clarified that the growers are subject to fines too, however, it is more desirable to find a long term solution.

Mr. Garner provided a suggestion on the theft issue: if there is a grower open to set up a self-serve stand. He explained that Cantelow road, one grower provides a stand where visitors can drop off a dollar and take the apricot or whatever.

Other suggestions from the committee included:

- Stevensons Bridge Road to Pleasants Valley (Putah Creek): signs at each end saying spraying is happening. You could go on an alternate route, so they can tell the cyclists to go another way. Like the teepee signs or just a simple wooden post. When cyclists find out there will be chip sealing, they pass the word around. Don't want to put signs up everywhere closing roads for weeks.
- Create a Twitter account to post that information; growers can tweet from cell phone. Computer not required.
- Putah creek group and Suisun valley group: people who ride in the different areas. Tuggle county has ag advisory committee and its well attended by committee members. Meet monthly except in the summer.
- Staff discussion to talk about the dialog. Anything we start now will become more normal in a few years.

B. Bike to Work Day Activities 2011 and BikeLinks Map Update

Judy Leaks notified the group that this year's Bike To Work Day would be May 12th. She noted that several components will be included: sponsorship, energizer stations, staffing etc. Ms. Leaks explained that pre-promotion is a new aspect that staff would like to improve this year and invited the committee to provide any suggestions they might have.

She also explained the Team Bike Challenge, where groups of people can get together to bike for one month for trips would usually be taken by car (going to post office, coffee shop, etc). Member Pyle asked if the community college staff had been communicated with. He commented that an energizer station has never been at the college. Ms. Leaks expressed that she could contact the Solano Community College employer outreach person.

Members commented regarding the Downtown Benicia energizer station's user counts. It was noted that approximately 10 people pass the downtown location location. Ms. Leaks commented that she would follow up with the committee's recommendation to change the downtown Benicia location to the Benicia-Martinez Bridge entrance in Benicia.

Judy Leaks provided a brief presentation of the Bikelinks update. She explained that the map development is divided into two tiers. First tier is to edit the routes that have changed over the past few years. The 2nd tier is to make changes and suggestions for other ideas etc. smack in the middle says that cyclists should be aware. PUT IT IN THE LEGEND and put it in many places. There is a place for overnight camping in Dixon!! Cool!!

Lofts and a showers and a place to put a tent up. Does adventure cycling know about it? DO IT!! On the bike route from Davis to Dixon. Awesome!

MUST ADD TO THE BIKELINKS MAP.

Pitt School Road, Benicia Bridge, McGary Road, etc.

Due to STA staff January 28th. Establish a budget and timeline, etc. Sara Woo will email the committee with a reminder email to set the due date etc.

Criteria for what is a recommended route. Gordon Valley Road. Class? Signage? Etc. Montezuma Hills to get to Rio Vista from Shiloh Road. Grizzly Pete's cycling club

Nancy Lund: the back side where it talks about Sacramento. It would be nice to make something like that for the carquinez bridge. Show the Benicia bridge: turn right to go to Fairfield, and left to go to Vallejo. Put insets...

Member Fisk asked when will Hawkins Road be started. It's environmental. It will take a year and a half, luckily in 6-9 months to clear environmental. It will be phased into smaller construction phases.

Patrick Garner have you developed an iPhone application?
End at 7:25 p.m.

VII. ACTION ITEMS

A. Safe Routes to School (SR2S) Program Update and Advisory Committee Representatives

Recommendation:

Appoint STA BAC members to represent the SR2S advisory committee (one to serve as representative and other as alternate).

Robert Guerrero provided an update for the safe routes to school program. He commented that whoever volunteers for the committee will need to meet during the day. Mr. Guerrero explained that Safe Routes to School participation will help steer some of the policy updates for the plan. The majority of the group has participated in the program in some form as a local representative. Consensus was to appoint Mike Segala and Barbara Wood as alternate.

B. Officer Elections

Recommendation:

Elect a Chair and Vice-Chair for the 2011 calendar year.

Sara Woo explained the current Officers and the nomination process to the committee and invited the committee to provide nominations.

On a motion by Member Wood and second by Member Lund, the BAC unanimously appointed Larry Mork to serve as Chair and Ray Posey for Vice Chair.

C. BAC Membership

Recommendation:

Review and approve the revised membership terms for the STA BAC.

Sara Woo discussed the membership of the BAC and the potential issue of many membership appointments expiring at the same time.

On a motion by Member wood and second by Member Fisk, the BAC unanimously approved the revised membership terms.

D. 2011 Bicycle Advisory Committee Overall Work Plan

Recommendation:

Approve the 2011 overall work plan for the STA BAC.

Sara Woo explained the work plan and the new items the BAC would have to look forward to for the upcoming year.

On a motion by Member Pyle and second by Member Wood, the BAC unanimously approved the 2011 BAC Overall Work Plan with the addition to add spray initiative and healthy and safety item to the work plan.

E. SR2T Advisory Committee

Robert Guerrero would like to look at the connectivity issues, wayfinding signs, etc. there is funding at the region that is available. Mr. Guerrero commented that the program is competitive in the bay area. He explained that STA staff would like to have a BAC member communicate with public works, police, and fire staff through the development of the plan to have the plan adopted by fall. Member Lund volunteered as representative. The committee members suggested tabling the item alternate to next meeting to give other members an opportunity.

VIII. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

A. Solano Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan Update – (Sara Woo, STA)

Sara Woo explained the process for update process for the current Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan update. She explained the planning and coordinating meetings with BAC members and local agency staff to determine the priority projects from each jurisdiction. Ms. Woo presented the draft document and walked through each section with the committee.

Member Wood commented that the report is good. She also commented that it was a lot of information to digest. Ms. Woo explained that the schedule to adopt the full plan is

anticipated for April 2011. The committee agreed to set a deadline for review and comment on the materials for the draft Bicycle Transportation Plan by January 28th.

B. State Route (SR) 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Study – (Sara Woo, STA)

Sara Woo provided a brief presentation of the status of the SR 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Study. She commented that STA staff and the working group would be hosting an “open house” event to introduce the work of the study to residents and interested parties of the corridor. Ms. Woo explained that a few members of the public attended to provide their input. No comments were provided by the BAC.

Robert Guerrero explained that a large intent of the plan was to complete a feasibility study and that staff is now coming to the end of its development. He noted that the benefit-cost analysis demonstrated that the cost exceeds the benefit greatly. Member Wood explained that a class I path does not make good sense.

Patrick Garner expressed the need to address how to cross the Red Top Road area. He commented that the future plan is to install an overcrossing through the North Connector project, in the later phases of the project. He further explained that the area could use crossings in the interim.

C. Solano County Fiscal Year (FY) 2010/11 Funded Bicycle Projects Status Report – (Sara Woo, STA)

Sara Woo provided a brief status update of the recently completed priority projects. She noted that the McGary Road Safety Improvement Project and the State Park Road Bicycle and Pedestrian Overcrossing Project had been completed and will be open to the public. The BAC members expressed their enthusiasm for the completion of both projects.

Garland Wong explained that there would be sharrows and lighted cross walks, will it be too premature to put that on the map. He commented that there would not be enough funding for landscaping improvements.

Matt Tuggle provided a brief update on the Vaca Dixon Bike Route: Hawkins Road (Leisure Town to Pitt School Road). He explained that it is 4.6 miles in length and that Solano County staff is completing the environmental clearance for the entire length of the project, including design. He further explained that the County had received their federal authorization for funding and should complete the environmental and design in the next 6-9 months with favorable conditions. Mr. Tuggle commented that if impacts are found (like vernal pools, tiger salamanders), it might take a year and a half. He noted that archeological issues that may need to be looked at, but Solano County staff will keep the committee up to date.

Member Fisk asked if there would be widening involved. Mr. Tuggle commented that West of Pitt School Road to Clark Road is the easiest (approximately half a mile in length). He further explained that project is narrow in the midsection with deep drainage ditches. He

noted that it is not easy now, but Solano County staff will try to provide more room as much as possible, the ditch would remain. He also explained that right-of-way purchase would not be necessary.

D. Bicycle Lockers – (Sara Woo, STA)

Robert Guerrero explained the potential to build a Bicycle Parking program by beginning with taking an inventory of the availability of Bicycle Lockers in Solano County. He commented that there is no uniform way of providing contact information currently. He further explained that STA staff would find a centralized location for information and marketing and communicating these resources/incidents. Mr. Guerrero commented that he would like comments from the committee and staff would bring back a timeline with milestones at the next meeting.

IX. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS – NO DISCUSSION

A. Funding Opportunities Summary

X. COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS

Member Posey commented that the last election the Oberstar guy was ousted. He requested that STA staff look into providing some type of state of the state perspective from STA regarding bicycle funding. STA staff commented that they would follow up.

Member Lund asked for a route to the Carquinez Bridge. She also commented that there is an overpass from Benicia Road over I-80 in need of repair as it could be a viable bike route. Mr. Tuggle explained that the project would be in the State's jurisdiction. He commented that he could ask and find out more information. STA staff expressed that they could assist in coordinating this information.

XI. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 8:30 p.m. The next meeting of the STA BAC is tentatively scheduled for February 10, 2011; BAC meeting will be moved to March if needed.

Minutes prepared by STA staff, Sara Woo, (707) 399-3214, swoo@sta-snci.com



DATE: March 9, 2011
TO: STA BAC
FROM: Sara Woo, Associate Planner
RE: State Route (SR) 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Plan

Background:

The SR 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Plan began in late 2008 through a \$55,000 grant awarded to STA by the San Francisco Ridge Trail Council. The purpose of the study was to evaluate the possibility for an off-street bicycle and pedestrian path within the SR 12 West corridor, while redefining the existing plans. Ultimately, many agencies were involved and worked together to find out a single bike-ped route that could be agreed upon by all participants. Key participants of the Technical Working Group included staff from Caltrans, City of Fairfield, Napa County, NCT&PA, San Francisco Ridge Trail Council, and Solano County.

The Plan development was placed on hold for approximately one year, resuming in late 2009, due to state budget restrictions on available funding. Over the past several months, the Plan's working group and consultant team has worked to refine the study to finalize the document.

Discussion:

For the BAC's review, the SR 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Plan is made up of the following chapters:

1. Executive Summary
2. Introduction
3. SR 12 Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Partnership
4. Goals, Objectives, and Policies
5. Bicycle and Pedestrian Plans/Projects Inventory
6. Opportunities and Constraints
7. Concept Design and Alignment Options
8. Trail Design Guidelines
9. Cost Analysis, Funding, and Implementation

In January 2011, the BAC was provided an update to the Plan's Open House and follow up analysis of design and alignment options. Since January, public comments and revisions suggested by the Technical Working Group have been incorporated into the Plan. The primary recommendation of the study was to pursue the development of a Class II bicycle lane along SR

12 as well as the local connections at each terminus of the corridor. In addition, the study identifies a potential trail corridor for future study based on existing environmental constraints. Developing a shared use trail corridor through Jameson Canyon is constrained by many physical and environmental characteristics. There are also ownership and land use issues that provide both opportunities and constraints for trail placement. A benefit-cost analysis was prepared and showed that the cost to develop a Class I facility on the terrain exceeds the benefit.

The complete draft document has been mailed to each BAC member and participant on a CD for review and comment (Attachment A). A link to the draft document, which can be downloaded for immediate review, has also been provided via e-mail to each member and participant.

If approved by the BAC, the recommendation would be presented to the STA TAC at their March 30, 2011 meeting. The STA Board would follow with an action item at their April 13, 2011 meeting for a formal adoption of the SR 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Plan.

Fiscal Impact:

None.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the following:

1. Approve Draft SR 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Plan

Attachments:

- A. SR 12 Jameson Canyon Corridor Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections Plan



DATE: March 9, 2011
TO: STA BAC
FROM: Sara Woo, Associate Planner
RE: Solano Countywide Bicycle Plan Update: Final Draft Review

Background:

The Solano Countywide Bicycle Plan is currently being updated as part of the overall Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP). Similar to the Countywide Pedestrian Plan, the Solano Countywide Bicycle Plan identifies the short-term and long-term projects needed to complete a countywide bikeway network. Over the last several months, the Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC) has worked with the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to identify priority bicycle projects in each jurisdiction. Through this process, the following key items have been developed and reviewed by the BAC:

1. Draft Solano Countywide Bicycle Network Projects List
2. Draft Solano Countywide Bicycle Network Priority Projects List
3. Chapter 1 – Existing Conditions
4. Chapter 2 – Goals, Objectives, and Policies
5. Chapter 3 – Proposed Bikeway System
6. Chapter 4 – Policies and Programs

Discussion:

For the BAC's review, STA staff has completed the following:

1. Chapter 5 – Cost Analysis and Implementation
2. Chapter 6 – Data Collection
3. Chapter 7 – Performance Measures and Evaluation
4. Bicycle project mapping for each community

Each chapter provides an introduction to the chapter contents, followed by the materials developed through study.

In January 2011, the BAC reviewed the Cost Analysis portion of Chapter 5 – Cost and Implementation. Since the January 20th meeting, the implementation portion has been completed. The implementation section of Chapter 5 discusses STA's general approach to project delivery and accomplishing the recommendations identified in the Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan. Chapter 6 details bicyclist counts and commute statistics based on the 2000 Census and 2005-2007 American Community Survey.

Chapter 7 – Performance Measures and Evaluation is a new element to the Solano Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan. The purpose of this chapter is to provide a platform for STA staff to begin coordinating information regarding current projects and eventually being able to provide a resource to project sponsors and members of the public about the various outcomes of the Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan. The development of the Performance Measures section was achieved through review of bicycle plans with performance measures and interviews with various transportation professionals.

Based on the nine (9) goals identified in Chapter 2 of the Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan, a system of measurement for achieving those goals was developed based on quantitative and qualitative analysis. The performance measures have been created based on measurement of outputs and outcomes of the Plan. Whereas *outputs* take a look at physical development of the bikeway network and the amenities described, *outcomes* evaluate the perceived benefit to the community primarily based on user/public feedback. By use of outcome-oriented evaluation, STA staff can also develop qualitative inferences based on quantitative data. The primary party responsible for coordinating the performance measures statistical data is STA, which would assist in further improving STA staff accountability to the general public and project sponsors.

The complete draft document has been mailed to each BAC member and participant on a CD for review and comment (Attachment A). A link to the draft document, which can be downloaded for immediate review, has also been provided via e-mail to each member and participant.

If approved by the BAC, the recommendation would be presented to the STA TAC at their March 30, 2011 meeting. The STA Board would follow with an action item at their April 13, 2011 meeting for a formal adoption of the Solano Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan.

Fiscal Impact:

None.

Recommendation:

Forward a recommendation to the STA Board to approve the following:

1. Approve Draft Solano Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan

Attachments:

- A. Draft Solano Countywide Bicycle Transportation Plan



DATE: March 11, 2011
TO: STA Bicycle Advisory Committee
FROM: Robert Macaulay, Director of Planning
RE: Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Update and Solano Call for Projects
Draft List

Background:

The Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is the long-range transportation plan for the 9-county Bay Area. It is prepared every 4 years by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC). The RTP sets out a 25-year vision for the region's transportation system, establishes goals and milestones for achieving that vision, and lists projects that are designed to help meet those goals. The RTP is a financially constrained document; only projects that can be funded through reasonably-anticipated revenues can be included in the RTP.

Projects that receive federal and/or state financing must be listed in the RTP. In addition, local projects that have no federal or state funds may still be listed in the RTP in order to undergo air quality conformity analysis as part of the RTP review. It is therefore beneficial to have a project included in the RTP.

Assembly Bill (AB) 32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 is intended to substantially reduce the emission of Greenhouse Gases (GHG), primarily carbon dioxide. Senate Bill (SB) 375, approved in 2008, is designed to implement a portion of AB 32 by integrating regional decisions on land use planning and transportation investment. This is primarily accomplished by requiring regional Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) to develop a Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS) that:

- Accommodates all of the region's growth, both in total numbers and by economic groups;
- Specifies the general location and density of housing development; and
- Ties transportation investments through the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) to new development or redevelopment, in order to reduce Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT), the proxy measure for GHG emissions.

SB 375 only addresses emission reductions from reductions in VMT for cars and light trucks. Other initiatives under AB 32 deal with improved vehicle fleet fuel economy, lower carbon fuels, and reduced emissions from heavy trucks, transit and non-transportation sources.

In order to provide a transportation network for the SCS analysis and the next RTP (which will use a horizon year of 2040, and will be known as T2040), MTC has already begun the process of updating the current RTP (T2035).

In addition to its use in developing the next RTP, the SCS will determine the base numbers for the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA). The Cities and the County are required to develop General Plan Housing Elements that accommodate their share of the RHNA. In previous years, the RHNA and RTP processes were separate.

At its meeting of February 9, 2011, the STA Board approved a schedule for review of the draft RTP Project List, including a public outreach component. That schedule is included as Attachment A. Because of the SCS/RTP update schedule, only a short amount of time is allocated by MTC for STA to develop Solano County's RTP project list. All projects must be submitted to MTC by April 29, 2011.

MTC has adopted Performance Measures to be used in development of the SCS and RTP. These measures will be used to compare the base case (business as usual) land use development and transportation network with several alternative scenarios. The adopted Performance Measures are included as Attachment B.

Discussion:

Attachment C is the Draft Solano RTP Project List. Projects are listed in four categories:

- A. Projects in T2035 that have been completed.
- B. Projects that are in T2035 that have not been completed.
- C. Projects proposed by STA staff for inclusion in T2040.
- D. Projects that fit into exempt categories, such as operations and maintenance.

MTC has provided STA with its fund estimates for the RTP. This estimate is based upon the funds MTC believes are 'reasonably available' (\$1.92 billion), plus a mark-up of 75%. The resultant STA fund estimate is \$3.36 billion. Because the RTP is a fiscally constrained document, only projects that in total will cost no more than the available funds can be included in the RTP. In preparing T2035, MTC provided STA with a fund estimate of approximately \$1.5 billion, but later reduced that amount to \$600 million. STA staff believes the \$3.36 billion fund estimate significantly exceeds the amount that will ultimately be available for local projects. Projects that are funded entirely with local funds may be listed in the RTP without being counted against Solano County RTP fiscal limits.

In preparing the proposed RTP Project List, STA staff began with projects currently included in the T2035 list and projects proposed by the member agencies in 2010 for inclusion in the Solano Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP). From that master list, STA staff then identified projects that; a) have a reasonable likelihood of completion in the next 10 years; b) support efficient use or improvements to safety for the existing system, rather than major capacity expansion; c) that help improve the overall capacity of the Solano system, rather than act as stand-alone components; and d) are consistent with the existing RTP goals and the RTP and SCS Performance Measures.

Some programs are designed to maintain the current system, such as local streets and roads or transit maintenance. Other projects are individually small, but together make-up a larger regional program, such as development of the Regional Bicycle Network. Programs and projects that fit into those categories do not have to be submitted individually by local agencies and the CMAs. The Programmatic Categories are listed in Attachment D. The complete MTC Call for Projects letter and supporting attachments is included as Attachment E.

Programmatic categories 1 and 2 are specifically for bicycle and pedestrian facilities expansion and enhancement; category 3 is for bicycle and pedestrian rehabilitation. STA anticipated assigning a portion of the countywide financial target to these three categories, and specifying that the projects and programs in the Countywide Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plans are the targets for this funding.

All projects must be submitted to MTC by April 29, 2011. To meet this timeline, the Draft Solano RTP Project List must be released for public comment by March 9th along with the call for projects. The document released by the Board will be presented to the public via the STA website and at meetings targeting low income and senior and disabled transit users and other STA Advisory Committees in March. STA staff is also available to provide presentations at local jurisdiction Planning Commission or Board or Council meetings to assist in obtaining public input. All proposed additions, deletions or changes to the project list must be received by April 8, 2011. The STA Board is scheduled to hold a public hearing on the Draft RTP Project List at its April 13, 2011 meeting. The final list will then be reviewed by the STA TAC on April 27th, and a final action will be taken by the STA Board on May 11th.

STA member agencies and members of the public (including advocacy groups) are requested to identify projects that they believe should or should not be on the RTP Project List. For members of the public recommending projects be added to the list, they must identify a public agency sponsor to submit the project on their behalf.

At their February 23, 2011 meetings, the Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium and the STA Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) reviewed the Call for Projects and the initial project list. TAC members recommended several edits to project descriptions in Category B (existing RTP) projects in Attachment C. Both TAC and Consortium members recommended the addition of several projects to Category C (new projects), and the deletion of 2 projects from Category B due to lack of a viable funding strategy. All of those changes are reflected in Attachment C. At its meeting of March 9, 2011, the STA Board issued a formal Call for Projects, based upon the schedule and project list described above.

Fiscal Impact:

None at this time. However, the RTP project list will identify those projects that are covered under the RTP federal air quality attainment conformity analysis and which projects are eligible for state or federal funds, both of which strongly influence STA and member agency spending options.

Recommendation:

Recommend that the STA Board include the projects and programs identified in the Countywide Bicycle Master Plan and Countywide Pedestrian Master Plan be submitted as a part of the STA RTP Project List in Programmatic Categories 1, 2 and 3.

Attachments:

- A. RTP Draft Project List Review Schedule
- B. MTC Adopted RTP Performance Measures
- C. Draft Solano RTP Project List
- D. Programmatic Categories
- E. MTC Call for Projects Letter and Attachments

Schedule of Actions to Select STA's Projects for Submittal to MTC for the next RTP:

Action	Date
MTC issues formal Call for Projects to CMAs (and major transit providers)	February 10
STA Technical Advisory Committee and Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium review Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	February 23
STA Board reviews Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	March 9
MTC Release of County-Level Financial Projections	March 11
Community Outreach Meeting for low income and ADA transit users on Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List (Paratransit Coordinating Council) – <i>public input meeting</i>	March 17
Community Outreach Meeting for Senior and Disabled Transit Users on Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List (Senior and Disabled Transit Committee) – <i>public input meeting</i>	April ____
Bicycle Advisory Committee review Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	March 17
Pedestrian Advisory Committee review Preliminary Solano Prioritized Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	March 24
STA Board Public Hearing on Prioritized Solano Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	April 13
STA Technical Advisory Committee and Solano Express Intercity Transit Consortium meetings on Final Prioritized Solano Project List – <i>public input meeting</i>	April 27
STA Board Public Hearing on Final Solano Project List	May 11

Date: January 26, 2011
 W.I.: 1121
 Referred by: Planning Committee

Attachment A
 Resolution No. 3987
 Page 1 of 2

Performance Targets for the Sustainable Communities Strategy/Regional Transportation Plan

GOAL/OUTCOME	#	RECOMMENDED TARGET <i>Unless noted, all targets are for year 2035 compared to a year 2005 base</i>
CLIMATE PROTECTION	1	Reduce per-capita CO ₂ emissions from cars and light-duty trucks by 15% <i>Statutory - Source: California Air Resources Board, as required by SB 375</i>
ADEQUATE HOUSING	2	House 100% of the region's projected 25-year growth by income level (very-low, low, moderate, above-moderate) without displacing current low-income residents <i>Statutory - Source: ABAG adopted methodology, as required by SB 375</i>
HEALTHY & SAFE COMMUNITIES	3	Reduce premature deaths from exposure to particulate emissions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce premature deaths from exposure to fine particulates (PM_{2.5}) by 10% • Reduce coarse particulate emissions (PM₁₀) by 30% • Achieve greater reductions in highly impacted areas <i>Source: Adapted from federal and state air quality standards by BAAQMD</i> Associated Indicators <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence of asthma attributable to particulate emissions • Diesel particulate emissions
	4	Reduce by 50% the number of injuries and fatalities from all collisions (including bike and pedestrian) <i>Source: Adapted from California State Highway Strategic Safety Plan</i>
	5	Increase the average daily time walking or biking per person for transportation by 60% (for an average of 15 minutes per person per day) <i>Source: Adapted from U.S. Surgeon General's guidelines</i>

Attachment A
Resolution No. 3987
Page 2 of 2

GOAL/OUTCOME	#	RECOMMENDED TARGET <i>Unless noted, all targets are for year 2035 compared to a year 2005 base</i>
OPEN SPACE AND AGRICULTURAL PRESERVATION	6	Direct all non-agricultural development within the urban footprint (existing urban development and urban growth boundaries) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scenarios will be compared to 2010 urban footprint for analytical purposes only. <i>Source: Adapted from SB 375</i>
EQUITABLE ACCESS	7	Decrease by 10% the share of low-income and lower-middle income residents' household income consumed by transportation and housing <i>Source: Adapted from Center for Housing Policy</i>
ECONOMIC VITALITY	8	Increase gross regional product (GRP) by 90% – an average annual growth rate of approximately 2% (in current dollars) <i>Source: Bay Area Business Community</i>
TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM EFFECTIVENESS	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Decrease average per-trip travel time by 10% for non-auto modes • Decrease automobile vehicle miles traveled per capita by 10% <i>Source: Adapted from Caltrans Smart Mobility 2010</i>
	10	Maintain the transportation system in a state of good repair: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase local road pavement condition index (PCI) to 75 or better • Decrease distressed lane-miles of state highways to less than 10% of total lane-miles • Reduce average transit asset age to 50% of useful life <i>Source: Regional and state plans</i>

SOLANO COUNTY

RTP Reference Number	Project/Program	Total Project Cost	Committed Funds1	Discretionary Funds2	Project Notes
CATEGORY A - COMPLETED PROJECTS					
22631	Construct Route 12 westbound truck climbing lane at Red Top Road	\$13.2	\$13.2	\$0.0	COMPLETED
22634	Construct an adjacent 200-space, at-grade parking lot at the Vacaville intermodal Station (Phase 1)	\$12.9	\$12.9	\$0.0	COMPLETED
22700	Construct parallel corridor north of I-80 from Red Top Road to Abernathy Road	\$69.0	\$60.5	\$8.5	3 segments completed; segment 4 is part of 230326, I-80/I-680/SR 12 Interchange
230650	Widen I-80 from Red Top Road to Airbase Parkway to add HOV lanes in both directions (includes pavement rehabilitation and ramp metering)	\$94.9	\$94.9	\$0.0	COMPLETED
22629	Construct new Vallejo Baylink Ferry Terminal (includes additional parking, upgrade of bus transfer facilities and pedestrian access improvements) - Phase A				COMPLETED
CATEGORY B - PROJECTS IN T2035 NOT COMPLETED					
21341	Construct new Fairfield/Vacaville multimodal train station for Capitol Corridor intercity rail service (Phase 1)	\$39.6	\$29.6	\$10.0	Partially funded with Regional measure 2 Toll Bridge Program funds
22629	Construct new Vallejo Baylink Ferry Terminal (includes additional parking, upgrade of bus transfer facilities and pedestrian access improvements) - Phase B	\$85.6	\$75.6	\$10.0	Partially funded with Regional measure 2 Toll Bridge Program funds, project under construction.
22630	Improve Dixon facilities associated with the Dixon Rail Station: 1) Parkway Boulevard overcrossing, 2) B Street Ped Undercrossing, 3) West A Street Undercrossing	\$12.4	\$12.4	\$0.0	
22632	American Road/Hiddenbrook Parkway Operational Improvements	\$10.7	\$10.7	\$0.0	
22633	Widen Azuar Drive/Cedar Avenue on Mare Island, Vallejo, from 2 to 4 lanes between P-Street and Residential Parkway (includes bicycle lanes, railroad signals and rehabilitation improvements)	\$11.7	\$11.7	\$0.0	
94151	Construct 4-lane Jepson Parkway from Route 12 to Leisure Town Road	\$194.0	\$134.0	\$60.0	CEQA clearance completed.
230311	Widen and improve Peterson Road (south gate to Travis AFB) with the addition of a truck-stacking lane (includes drainage improvements)	\$2.6	\$2.6	\$0.0	
230322	Rebuild and relocate eastbound Cordelia Truck Scales Facility (includes a new 4-lane bridge across Suisun Creek and new ramps at eastbound Route 12 and eastbound I-80)	\$100.9	\$100.9	\$0.0	Scheduled for construction 2011.
230326	Improve I-80/I-680/Route 12 Interchange, including connecting I-680 northbound to Route 12 westbound (Jamieson Canyon), adding connectors and reconstructing local interchanges (Phase 1) and including west end of the North Connector and conversion of HOV to Express Lane	\$487.9	\$134.4	\$353.5	Partially funded with Regional Measure 2 Toll Bridge Program funds, scheduled for construction in 2012.
230468	Provide auxiliary lanes on I-80 in eastbound and westbound directions from I-680 to Air Base Parkway (includes a new eastbound mixed-flow lane from Route 12 east to Air Base Parkway)	\$50.0	\$0.0	\$50.0	
230635	Construct new 400-space parking garage at the Vacaville Intermodal Station (Phase 2)	\$10.0	\$0.0	\$10.0	for Phase 1, see Solano project #22634

RTP Reference Number	Project/Program	Total Project Cost	Committed Funds1	Discretionary Funds2	Project Notes
230708	Improve local interchanges and auxiliary lanes and make local streets and roads improvements (includes street channelization, overcrossing, bicycle and pedestrian access, and safety improvements)	\$15.0	\$15.0	\$0.0	

CATEGORY C NEW PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR ADDITION TO RTP

Fairfield Transportation Center Expansion - construct additional parking structure for net addition of ____ spaces					
Curtola Transit Center Expansion - construct parking structure at site of existing surface parking lot to support express bus and rideshare. Net increase of 880 spaces.					
Benicia Park and Ride Lots					RM2 funded
Benicia Intermodal Center					RM2 funded
Redwood Parkway/Fairgrounds Interchange -					PSR approved.
I-505/Vaca Valley Parkway Interchange - reconstruct existing overcrossing from 2 to 4 lanes plus Class II bike lane; reconstruct ramps to modified cloverleaf design.					\$0.0 Local Development Impact Fees fully fund project
I-80/I-505 Interchange redesign to accommodate Express Lane and eliminate lane drop from WB I-80 at I-505.					
I-80 California Drive Overcrossing in Vacaville - construct new overcrossing with no freeway connection					\$0.0 Local Development Impact Fees fully fund project
I-80 Lagoon Valley Road interchange - reconstruct existing overcrossing from 2 to 4 lanes; rebuild ramps					\$0.0 Local Development Impact Fees fully fund project
SR-12/SR-113 intersection - non-capacity improvements to existing intersection to add turn lanes and signalize intersection					May be SHOPP Eligible
SR-12/Church Intersection - non-capacity improvements to realign existing roadways and add turn lanes; may also include park-and-ride lot					May be SHOPP Eligible
Construct the Vallejo Ferry Maintenance Building					
Widen Peabody Road to 4 lanes from the Fairfield city limits to the Vacaville city limits.					

CATEGORY D PROGRAMATIC PROJECTS

230699	Local streets and roads maintenance	\$2,559.0	\$716.0	\$524.0	Shortfall remains
	Convert express and local buses to alternative fuels				

Attachment A.2 Programmatic Categories

Programmatic categories are groups of similar projects, programs, and strategies that are included under a single group for ease of listing in the RTP/SCS. Projects within programmatic categories must be exempt from regional transportation conformity. Many projects which address the concerns of communities, such as pedestrian bulbouts, bicycle lanes, transit passenger shelters, ridesharing, etc. are often taken into account in a programmatic category. Therefore individual projects of this nature do not need to be specified. Projects grouped in a programmatic category are viewed as a program of multiple projects. Projects that add capacity or expand the network are not included in a programmatic category. Projects that do not fit within the identified programmatic categories are listed separately in the RTP/SCS. Programmatic categories to be used include, but are not limited to the following:

1. **Bicycle/Pedestrian Expansion** (new facilities, expansion of existing bike/pedestrian network)
2. **Bicycle/Pedestrian Enhancements** (enhancements, streetscapes, TODs, ADA compliance, mobility and access improvements)
3. **Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities Rehabilitation**
4. **Lifeline Transportation** (Community Based Transportation Plans projects such as information/outreach projects, dial-a-ride, guaranteed ride home, paratransit, non-operational transit capital enhancements (i.e. bus shelters). Does not include fixed route transit projects.)
5. **Transit Enhancements** (ADA compliance, mobility and access improvements, passenger shelters, informational kiosks)
6. **Transit Management Systems** (TransLink[®], Transit GPS tracking systems (i.e. Next Bus))
7. **Transit Safety and Security Improvements** (Installation of security cameras)
8. **Transit Guideway Rehabilitation**
9. **Transit Station Rehabilitation**
10. **Transit Vehicle Rehabilitation/Replacement/Retrofit**
11. **Transit O&M** (Ongoing non-capital costs, preventive maintenance)
12. **Transit Operations Support** (purchase of operating equipment such as fareboxes, lifts, radios, office and shop equipment, support vehicles)
13. **Local Road Safety** (shoulder widening, realignment, non-coordinated signals)
14. **Highway Safety** (implementation of Highway Safety Improvement Program, Strategic Highway Safety Program, shoulder improvements, guardrails, medians, barriers, crash cushions, lighting improvements, fencing, increasing sight distance, emergency truck pullovers)
15. **Non-Capacity Increasing Local Road Intersection Modifications and Channelization**
16. **Non-Capacity Increasing State Highway Enhancements** (noise attenuation, landscaping, roadside rest areas, sign removal, directional and informational signs)
17. **Freeway/Expressway Incident Management** (freeway service patrol, call boxes)
18. **Non-Capacity Increasing Freeway/Expressway Interchange Modifications** (signal coordination, signal retiming, synchronization)
19. **Freeway/Expressway Performance Management** (Non-ITS Elements, performance monitoring, corridor studies)
20. **Non-Capacity Increasing Local Road Rehabilitation** (Pavement resurfacing, skid treatments)
21. **Non-Capacity Increasing Local Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Retrofit**
22. **State Highway Preservation** (Caltrans SHOPP, excluding system management)
23. **Toll Bridge Rehabilitation/Replacement/Retrofit**
24. **Local Streets and Roads O&M** (Ongoing non-capital costs, routine maintenance)
25. **State Highway O&M** (Caltrans non-SHOPP maintenance, minor 'A' and 'B' programs)
26. **Regional Air Quality and Climate Protection Strategies** (outreach programs and non-capacity projects specifically targeting regional air quality and climate protection strategies)
27. **Local Air Quality and Climate Protection Strategies** (outreach programs and non-capacity projects specifically targeting local air quality and climate protection strategies)
28. **Regional Planning and Outreach** (regionwide planning, marketing, and outreach)
29. **Transportation Demand Management** (continuation of ridesharing, shuttle, or vanpooling at current levels)
30. **Parking Management** (Parking cash out, variable pricing, etc.)



METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter
101 Eighth Street
Oakland, CA 94607-4700
TEL 510.817.5700
TTY/TDD 510.817.5769
FAX 510.817.5848
E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

February 14, 2011

RECEIVED

FEB 15 2011

SOLANO TRANSPORTATION
AUTHORITY

Scott Haggerty, Chair
Alameda County

Adrienne J. Tissier, Vice Chair
San Mateo County

Tom Azumbrado
U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

Tom Bates
Cities of Alameda County

Dave Cortese
Santa Clara County

Bill Dodd
Napa County and Cities

Dorene M. Giacomini
U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal D. Glover
Contra Costa County

Mark Green
Association of Bay Area Governments

Anne W. Halsted
San Francisco Bay Conservation
and Development Commission

Steve Kinsey
Marin County and Cities

Sam Liccardo
Cities of Santa Clara County

Jake Mackenzie
Sonoma County and Cities

Kevin Mullin
Cities of San Mateo County

Jon Rubin
San Francisco Mayor's Appointee

Bijan Sartipi
State Business, Transportation
and Housing Agency

James P. Spering
Solano County and Cities

Amy Rein Worth
Cities of Contra Costa County

Vacancy
City and County of San Francisco

Steve Heminger
Executive Director

Ann Flemer
Deputy Executive Director, Policy

Andrew B. Fremier
Deputy Executive Director, Operations

Mr. Daryl Halls
Solano Transportation Authority
One Harbor Center, Suite 130
Suisun City, CA 94585

RE: Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy – Call for Projects

To: Caltrans, Congestion Management Agencies, and Multi-County Transit Operators

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is issuing an open “call for projects” for consideration in the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS). MTC requests the assistance of each of the nine Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) to coordinate project submittals for their county. Caltrans and multi-county transit operators may submit directly to MTC, but coordination with the CMAs are encouraged. Attached is the Call for Projects Guidance that lays out required elements to be carried out in the local call for projects.

Project submittals are due to MTC on April 29, 2011. Projects/programs will undergo a project-level performance evaluation, which MTC will initiate starting in May 2011. MTC requests all partner agencies to adhere to this deadline. The results of the project performance assessment will inform the upcoming detailed alternatives analysis and investment trade-off discussions, ultimately leading to a preferred RTP/SCS early next year with adoption occurring a year later. As such, there will be ongoing opportunities for these discussions to occur.

The SCS legislation requires closer integration between land use and transportation planning. With this in mind, MTC and ABAG have adopted goals that direct local agencies to consider how their projects support SCS principals as promulgated by SB 375.

MTC is developing a web-based application form for sponsors to fill out and submit their projects. Sponsors will be able to (a) remove projects in the current plan (Transportation 2035) that are either now complete and open for service or no longer being pursued, (b) update projects in the current plan that should be carried forward in the RTP/SCS, and (c) add new projects. The web-based project application will be available

on March 1, 2011. At that time, MTC will provide instructions to CMAs on how to access and use the web-based form. Upon request, MTC staff will also provide a brief tutorial to the CMAs and its technical advisory committee.

MTC looks forward to receiving your project submittals. If you have any questions about the submittal process, please contact Grace Cho of my staff at (510) 817-5826 or gcho@mtc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Ann Flemer
Deputy Executive Director, Policy

AF: GC

J:\PROJECT\2013 RTP_SCS\Call for Projects\Final Version\Call for Projects Letters\Call for Projects Letter - STA.doc

Attachments:

- Attachment A: Call for Projects Guidance
- Attachment A.1: Goals and Performance Targets
- Attachment A.2: Programmatic Categories
- Attachment A.3: MTC's Draft Transportation Project Performance Assessment Methodology
- Attachment A.4: MTC Policy Advisory Council Members

Attachment A Call for Projects Guidance

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) requests the assistance of the nine Bay Area Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) to help with the Call for Projects within their counties. CMAs are best suited for this role because of their existing relationships with local jurisdictions, elected officials, transit agencies, community organizations and stakeholders, and members of the public within their counties. MTC expects the CMAs to plan and execute an effective public outreach and local engagement process to solicit candidate projects to be submitted to MTC for consideration in the Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS).

Project sponsors with projects vying for future state or federal funding must have their project identified in the financially constrained RTP/SCS. CMAs will be the main point of contact for local sponsoring agencies and members of the public submitting projects for consideration for inclusion in the 2013 SCS/RTP. Sponsors of multi-county projects (i.e. Caltrans, BART, Caltrain, etc.) may submit directly to MTC, but communication and coordination with CMAs is encouraged. Members of the public are eligible to submit projects, but must secure a public agency sponsor and coordinate the project submittal with their CMA.

CMAs will assist MTC with the Call for Projects by carrying out the following activities:

1. Public Involvement and Outreach

- ***Conduct countywide outreach to stakeholders and the public to solicit project ideas.***
CMAs, as well as multi-county transit operators and Caltrans, will be expected to implement their public outreach efforts in a manner consistent with MTC's Public Participation Plan (MTC Resolution No. 3821), which can be found at http://www.onebayarea.org/get_involved.htm. CMAs are expected, at a minimum, to:
 - Execute effective and meaningful local engagement efforts during the Call for Projects by working closely with local jurisdictions, elected officials, transit agencies, community-based organizations, and the public through the project solicitation process. In addition to the CMAs' citizen advisors, MTC's Policy Advisory Council members are a good resource to the CMAs to help plan community outreach events, engage members of the public, and identify candidate projects. Please see **Attachment A.4** for a list of MTC's Policy Advisory Council members.
 - Explain the local Call for Projects process, informing stakeholders and the public about the opportunities for public comments on project ideas and when decisions are to be made on the list of projects to be submitted to MTC;
 - Hold public meetings and/or workshops at times which are conducive to public participation to solicit public input on project ideas to submit;
 - Hold at least one public hearing providing opportunity for public comment on the list of potential projects prior to submittal to MTC;
 - Post notices of public meetings and hearing(s) on their agency website; include information on how to request language translation for individuals with limited English proficiency. If agency protocol has not been established, please refer to MTC's Plan for Assisting Limited English Proficient Populations.
 - CMA staff will be expected to provide MTC with a link so the information can also be viewed on the website OneBayArea.org;
 - Hold public meetings in central locations that are accessible for people with people with disabilities and by public transit;

- Offer language translations and accommodations for people with disabilities, if requested at least three days in advance of the meeting.
- ***Document the outreach effort undertaken for the local call for projects.*** CMAs, as well as multi-county transit operators and Caltrans, are to provide MTC with:
 - A description of how the public was involved in the process for nominating and/or commenting on projects for inclusion in the RTP/SCS. Specify whether public input was gathered at forums held specifically for the RTP/SCS or as part of an outreach effort associated with, for example, an update to a countywide plan;
 - A description of how the public engagement process met the outreach requirements of MTC's Public Participation Plan, including how the CMA ensured full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the project submittal process.
 - A summary of comments received from the public and a description of how public comments informed the recommended list of projects submitted by the CMA. Conversely, rationale must be provided if comments or projects from the public were not able to be accommodated in the list of candidate projects and a description of how the CMA, in future project nomination processes, plans to address the comments or projects suggested by the public.

2. Agency Coordination

- ***Work closely with local jurisdictions, transit agencies, MTC, Caltrans, and stakeholders to identify projects for consideration in the RTP/SCS.*** CMAs will assist with agency coordination by:
 - Communicating this Call for Projects guidance to local jurisdictions, transit agencies, Caltrans, and stakeholders and coordinate with them on the online project application form by assigning passwords, fielding questions about the project application form, reviewing and verifying project information, and submitting projects as ready for review by MTC
 - Working with members of the public interested in advancing a project idea to find a public agency project sponsor, and assisting them with submitting the project to MTC;
 - Developing freeway operations and capacity enhancement projects in coordination with MTC and Caltrans staff.
 - Developing transit improvements in coordination with MTC and transit agency staff.

3. Title VI Responsibilities

- ***Ensure the public involvement process provides underserved communities access to the project submittal process as in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.***
 - Assist community-based organizations, communities of concern, and any other underserved community interested in submitting projects;
 - Remove barriers for persons with limited English proficiency to have access to the project submittal process;
 - For additional Title IV outreach strategies, please refer to MTC's Public Participation Plan found at: http://www.onebayarea.org/get_involved.htm

4. County Target Budgets

- **Ensure that the County project list fits within the target budget defined by MTC for the county.**
 - To establish the county target budgets, MTC used the discretionary funding amount (\$32 billion) from the Transportation 2035 Plan and assigned counties a target budget based on a population share formula with an additional 75% mark up. County target budgets can be seen below. This formula approach is consistent with the formula used in Transportation 2035 Plan.
 - County target budgets are intended as a starting point to guide each CMA in recommending a project list to MTC by providing an upper financial limit.
 - County target budgets are not intended as the financially constrained RTP/SCS budget. CMAs and MTC will continue to discuss further and select projects later in the process that fit the RTP/SCS financially constrained envelope.

County Target Budgets (in billions)

Alameda: \$11.76

Contra Costa: \$7.84

Marin: \$2.24

Napa: \$1.12

San Francisco: \$6.16

San Mateo: \$5.60

Santa Clara: \$14.0

Solano: \$3.36

Sonoma: \$3.92

5. Cost Estimation Review

- **Establish guidelines for estimating project costs.** CMAs are to establish cost estimation guidelines for use by project sponsors. The guidelines may be developed by the CMAs or CMAs can elect to use other accepted guidelines produced by local, state or federal agencies. MTC has identified the following cost estimation guidelines available for use:
 - Federal: National Cooperative Highway Research Program's Guidance for Cost Estimation and Management for Highway Projects During Planning, Programming, and Preconstruction (http://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/nchrp/nchrp_w98.pdf)
 - State: Caltrans' Project Development Procedures Manual Chapter 20, Project Development Cost Estimates (http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/oppd/pdpm/chap_pdf/chapt20.pdf)
 - Local: Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) Cost Estimation Guide (http://ccta.net/assets/documents/Cost_Est_Guide_Documentation.pdf)
- **Review and verify with MTC that each project has developed an appropriate cost estimate prior to submittal.**

6. General Project Criteria

- **Identify whether projects meet basic project parameters as outlined by MTC.** CMAs will encourage project sponsors to submit projects which meet one or more of the general criteria listed below, keeping in consideration that projects should support SCS principals promulgated by SB 375:
 - Supports the goals and performance targets of the RTP/SCS (see **Attachment A.1**).
 - Serves as a regionally significant component of the regional transportation network. A regionally significant transportation project serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside of the region, major activity centers in the region,

- major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves).
 - Supports focused growth by serving existing housing and employment centers FOCUS Priority Development Areas.
 - Derives from an adopted plan, corridor study, or project study report (e.g., community-based transportation plans, countywide transportation plan, regional bicycle plan, climate action plans, etc.).
- **Assess how well the project meets basic criteria**
 Project sponsors are welcome to use MTC’s qualitative/quantitative approach or some hybrid thereof to develop and evaluate project priorities (See **Attachment A.3**). Sponsors may include qualitative discussion and/or quantitative data to demonstrate how proposed projects meet the RTP/SCS goals and targets, the magnitude of project impacts and cost effectiveness. MTC will provide a function in the on-line application for this information and may use it to inform the Goals Assessment portion of MTC's evaluation.

7. Programmatic Categories

- CMAs should group similar projects, which are exempt from regional air quality conformity that do not add capacity or expand the transportation network, into broader programmatic categories rather than submitting them as individual projects for consideration in the RTP/SCS. These individual projects may address a concern of the community (e.g., improved pedestrian ways to transit, curb bulb-outs to calm traffic, etc.), but do not have to be individually specified for the purposes of air quality conformity. See **Attachment A.2** for guidance on the programmatic categories.

Timeline

Task	Date
Issue Call for Projects Letter to CMAs, Caltrans, and Multi-County Transit Operators	February 10, 2011
Open Online Project Application Form for Use by CMAs/ Project Sponsors	March 1, 2011
Close of Project Submittal Period	April 29, 2011
MTC Conducts Project-Level Performance Assessment and Selection Process for Projects for Detailed SCS Scenarios	May – July 2011

J:\PROJECT\2013 RTP_SCS\Call for Projects\Final Version\Attachment A - Guidance.doc



DATE: March 10, 2011
TO: STA BAC
FROM: Robert Guerrero, Senior Planner
RE: Safe Routes to Transit Plan and Advisory Committee Representatives

VII.D Safe Routes to Transit (SR2T) and Advisory Committee Representatives – (Robert Guerrero, STA)

STA Staff will begin to develop a Safe Routes to Transit Plan in the upcoming months. STA staff invites BAC and PAC members to participate in the Spring 2011 SR2T Plan development process and SR2S Advisory Committee meetings. Meetings would be held during the day; staff anticipates 2-4 meetings through the duration of the plan development. Meeting dates and SR2T program information can be found by contacting Robert Guerrero at rguerrero@sta-snci.com or at (707) 399-3213.

No Attachments.

Recommendation:

Appoint a BAC member and alternate to represent the committee on the Safe Routes to Transit Advisory Committee.



DATE: March 10, 2011
TO: STA BAC
FROM: Sara Woo, Associate Planner
RE: Informational Items – Discussion

VIII.A 2011 Bike to Work Day Activities – (Judy Leaks, STA)

At the January BAC meeting, the energizer stations were reviewed by the committee. Suggested energizer stations are shown in the table below:

Solano County Energizer Stations

City	Location
Benicia	Benicia-Martinez Bridge*
Dixon	Fisk's Cyclery
Fairfield	Ray's Cycle
Fairfield	County Building
Fairfield	Linear Park Trail (near Travis & Pennsylvania)*
Fairfield/Solano County	Solano Community College*
Rio Vista	Town Hall
Vacaville	Ray's Cycle
Vacaville	VacaValley Pkwy (2 spots)
Vallejo	Vallejo Ferry Terminal

*Suggested new Energizer Station

No Attachments.

VIII.B BikeLinks Map Update – (Judy Leaks, STA)

In January 2011, STA staff discussed the update process for the Solano-Yolo BikeLinks Map Update and opened the comment period to the BAC and general public through bicycle clubs and other bicyclist interest groups. Currently, STA staff is incorporating the comments submitted by the BAC and members of the public. Further details will be provided at the March 16, 2011 meeting.

No Attachments.



DATE: March 9, 2011
TO: STA BAC
FROM: Sara Woo, Associate Planner
RE: Funding Opportunities Summary

Discussion:

Below is a list of funding opportunities that will be available to STA member agencies during the next few months. Attachment A provides further details for each program.

	FUND SOURCE	AMOUNT AVAILABLE	APPLICATION DEADLINE
1.	Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program (for San Francisco Bay Area)	Approximately \$20 million	Due On First-Come, First Served Basis
2.	Carl Moyer Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (for Sacramento Metropolitan Area)	Approximately \$10 million	Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis
3.	Air Resources Board (ARB) Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP)*	Up to \$5,000 rebate per light-duty vehicle	Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis
4.	Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) Hybrid Electric Vehicle Purchase Vouchers (HVIP)*	Approximately \$10,000 to \$45,000 per qualified request	Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis
5.	Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD) Clean Air Funds (CAF)*	Approximately \$260,000	Due On March 25, 2011
6.	Caltrans Bicycle Transportation Account (BTA) Grant	Estimated \$7 million based on previous cycles	Anticipated Date: March 25, 2011
7.	Caltrans Community-Based Transportation Planning Grants*	Budget is \$3 million , each project not to exceed \$300,000 for 2011/12	Due March 30, 2011
8.	Caltrans Environmental Justice: Context-Sensitive Planning*	Budget is \$3 million , each project not to exceed \$250,000 for 2011/12	Due March 30, 2011
9.	Partnership Planning Grant*	Budget is \$1,000,000 , each project not to exceed \$300,000 for 2011/12	Due March 30, 2011
10.	Transit Planning Grant (FTA 5304): Statewide or Urban Transit Planning Studies*	Budget is \$2,000,000 , each project not to exceed \$300,000 for 2011/12	Due March 30, 2011
11.	Transit Planning Grant (FTA 5304): Rural or Small Urban Transit Planning Studies*	Budget is \$1,000,000 , each project not to exceed \$100,000 for 2011/12	Due March 30, 2011
12.	Program for Arterial System Synchronization*	Approximately \$1,250,000	Due April 12, 2011

*New funding opportunity

Fiscal Impact:

None.

Recommendation:

Informational.

Attachment:

A. Detailed Funding Opportunities Summary

Attachment A

The following funding opportunities will be available to the STA member agencies during the next few months. Please distribute this information to the appropriate departments in your jurisdiction.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program (for San Francisco Bay Area)	Anthony Fournier Bay Area Air Quality Management District (415) 749-4961 afournier@baaqmd.gov	Ongoing. Application Due On First-Come, First Served Basis Eligible Project Sponsors: private non-profit organizations, state or local governmental authorities, and operators of public transportation services	Approximately \$20 million	Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program provides incentive grants for cleaner-than-required engines, equipment, and other sources of pollution providing early or extra emission reductions.	Eligible Projects: cleaner on-road, off-road, marine, locomotive and stationary agricultural pump engines http://www.baaqmd.gov/Divisions/Strategic-Incentives/Funding-Sources/Carl-Moyer-Program.aspx
Carl Moyer Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (for Sacramento Metropolitan Area)	Gary A. Bailey Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (916) 874-4893 gbailey@airquality.org	Ongoing. Application Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis Eligible Project Sponsors: private non-profit organizations, state or local governmental authorities, and operators of public transportation services	Approximately \$10 million , maximum per project is \$4.5 million	The Off-Road Equipment Replacement Program (ERP), an extension of the Carl Moyer Program, provides grant funds to replace Tier 0, high-polluting off-road equipment with the cleanest available emission level equipment.	Eligible Projects: install particulate traps, replace older heavy-duty engines with newer and cleaner engines and add a particulate trap, purchase new vehicles or equipment, replace heavy-duty equipment with electric equipment, install electric idling-reduction equipment http://www.airquality.org/mobile/moyererp/index.shtml

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Air Resources Board (ARB) Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP)*	Meri Miles ARB (916) 322-6370 mmiles@arb.ca.gov	Application Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis	Up to \$5,000 rebate per light-duty vehicle	The Zero-Emission and Plug-In Hybrid Light-Duty Vehicle (Clean Vehicle) Rebate Project is intended to encourage and accelerate zero-emission vehicle deployment and technology innovation. Rebates for clean vehicles are now available through the Clean Vehicle Rebate Project (CVRP) funded by the Air Resources Board (ARB) and implemented statewide by the California Center for Sustainable Energy (CCSE).	Eligible Projects: Purchase or lease of zero-emission and plug-in hybrid light-duty vehicles http://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/aqip/cvrp.htm
Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) Hybrid Electric Vehicle Purchase Vouchers (HVIP)*	To learn more about how to request a voucher, contact: info@californiahvip.org	Application Due On First-Come, First-Served Basis	Approximately \$10,000 to \$45,000 per qualified request	The California Air Resources Board (ARB) created the HVIP to speed the market introduction of low-emitting hybrid trucks and buses. It does this by reducing the cost of these vehicles for truck and bus fleets that purchase and operate the vehicles in the State of California. The HVIP voucher is intended to reduce about half the incremental costs of purchasing hybrid heavy-duty trucks and buses.	Eligible Projects: Purchase of low-emission hybrid trucks and buses http://www.californiahvip.org/

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District (YSAQMD) Clean Air Funds (CAF)*	Jim Antone YSAQMD (530) 757-3653 jantone@ysaqmd.org 1947 Galileo Court Suite 103 Davis, CA 95616	March 25, 2011 Eligible Applicants: Eastern Solano County jurisdictions (Dixon, Rio Vista, Vacaville, and surrounding unincorporated areas)	Approximately \$260,000	The YSAQMD CAF Program is designed to reduce emissions from motor vehicles by supporting cleaner vehicle technologies, alternative modes of transportation, and public education.	Eligible Projects: Clean technologies/low-emission vehicles, alternative transportation (bicycle and pedestrian projects), transit services, and public education http://www.ysaqmd.org/Incentives10.php
Caltrans Bicycle Transportation Account (BTA) Grant*	Ann Mahaney Caltrans (916) 653-0036 ann.mahaney@dot.ca.gov P.O. Box 942874 Sacramento, CA 94274-0001	March 25, 2011 Eligible Applicants: Cities and Counties with an adopted Bicycle Transportation Plan (BTP)	\$7.2 million total expected to be allocated, max for one applicant is \$1.8 million	This program provides state funds for city and county projects that improve safety and convenience for bicycle commuters.	Eligible Projects: (1) new bikeways serving major transportation corridors; (2) new bikeways removing travel barriers; (3) secure bicycle parking; (4) bicycle-carrying facilities on public transit; (5) installation of traffic control devices to improve safety; (6) elimination of hazardous conditions on existing bikeways; (7) planning; (8) improvement and maintenance of bikeways http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/bta/BTA_CallForProjects.htm

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Community-Based Transportation Planning Grants*	Russ Walker Caltrans (916) 651-6886 russ_walker@dot.ca.gov	Grants for 2011/2012 due April 1, 2011 (anticipated deadline) Eligible Applicants: Cities and Counties	Budget is \$3 million , each project not to exceed \$300,000; this grant requires a local 20% match with a maximum 10% in-kind contribution allowed	This program provides planning funds that support livable communities (such as long-term economic development, multimodal linkages, and jobs/housing balance), coordinate land-use and transportation planning, reflect community values, and include non-traditional participants in transportation decision making.	Eligible Projects: Long-term sustainable community studies/plans, blueprint planning follow-up or refinement, rural smart growth, transit oriented/adjacent development or “transit village” studies/plans, infill studies/plans, etc http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/grants.html
Environmental Justice: Context-Sensitive Planning*	Jorge Rivas Caltrans (916) 654-6236 jorge_rivas@dot.ca.gov	Grants for 2011/2012 due April 1, 2011 (anticipated deadline) Eligible Applicants: Cities and Counties	Budget is \$3 million , each project not to exceed \$300,000; this grant requires a local 10% match with a maximum 5% in-kind contribution allowed	This program provides funding for transportation planning-related projects that promote environmental justice in local planning; contribute to the early and continuous involvement of low-income and minority communities in the planning and decision-making process; improve mobility and access for under-served communities; and create a business climate that leads to more economic opportunities, services and affordable housing.	Eligible Projects: Transit Innovation studies/plans, comprehensive mobility studies/plans, context-sensitive streetscapes or town center studies, complete street studies, context-sensitive community development planning, community-friendly goods movement transportation corridors, ports, and airports studies, etc http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/tpp/grants.html

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.

Fund Source	Application/Program Contact Person**	Application Deadline/Eligibility	Amount Available	Program Description	Additional Information
Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)	Vamsi Tabjulu MTC (510)817-5936 vtabjulu@mtc.ca.gov	April 12, 2011 Eligible Applicants: Cities and counties in the Bay Area	Approximately \$1,250,000 , with a maximum of \$10,000 per project	Provides funding for technical assistance to Bay Area jurisdictions with retiming traffic signal systems that include signals from multiple jurisdictions, interact with freeways and state highways, operate on corridors with established regional significance, provide transit priority, or have been developed in conjunction with other regional programs.	Eligible Projects: Technical assistance and financial support will be focused on traffic signal system projects that: 1) interact with freeways and state highways; 2) involve traffic signals from multiple jurisdictions; 3) operate on corridors with established regional significance; 4) provide priority for transit vehicles; 5) have been developed in conjunction with other regional programs http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/arterial_operations/downloads/PASS_Guidelines_2010-11.pdf

*New Funding Opportunity

**STA staff, Sara Woo, can be contacted directly at (707) 399-3214 or swoo@sta-snci.com for assistance with finding more information about any of the funding opportunities listed in this report.