



RESOLUTION No. 12-33

**RESOLUTION OF THE
NAPA COUNTY TRANSPORTATION AND PLANNING AGENCY (NCTPA)
ADOPTING AN ADDENDUM TO THE PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED
MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
FOR THE SOSCOL GATEWAY TRANSIT CENTER PROJECT**

WHEREAS, the Soscol Gateway Transit Center Project will relocate an existing transit center and will also relocate administrative staff to new office space to be constructed at the Transit Center; and

WHEREAS, on November 3, 2010, the Napa County Transportation and Planning Agency adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Soscol Gateway Transit Center Project; and

WHEREAS, construction of the transit center began in 2011 and was substantially completed by December 2012; and

WHEREAS, the mitigated negative declaration contemplated buses turning from Soscol Avenue right on to Eighth Street toward the Soscol Gateway Transit Center; and

WHEREAS, it was later determined that turning from Soscol Avenue right onto Seventh Street was a preferred avenue for buses traveling to the Transit Center:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that in accordance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act, the Board of the Napa County Transportation and Planning Agency hereby adopts an Addendum to the previously adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Soscol Gateway Transit Center Project based on the following:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.
2. The modifications to the previously adopted project do not constitute a change that requires a major revision to the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Evidence: The proposed changes entail only minor operational changes to bus movements south of the Transit Center site along Burnell Street, Seventh Street, and Eighth Street.

Evidence: The analysis prepared for this Addendum does not identify any new potentially significant impacts. The effects of the proposed changes would either have no impact, be less than significant, or be subject to the same mitigation

included in the previous Mitigated Negative Declaration that rendered all previously identified impacts to a less-than-significant level.

3. There have not been substantial changes in circumstances under which the project changes are taken undertaken that require major revisions to the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Evidence: There is no evidence of substantial changes to the existing conditions on or near the Transit Center site that would substantially affect the conclusions of the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

4. There is no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known, with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared, that shows:

- (1) The project changes will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous environmental document;

Evidence: The analysis prepared for this Addendum does not identify any new potentially significant impacts. The effects of the proposed changes are either less than significant, or would be subject to the same mitigation included in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and thus rendered less-than-significant.

- (2) The significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than identified in the previous environmental document;

Evidence: The analysis prepared for this Addendum does not identify any new or substantially more severe potentially significant impacts. The effects of the proposed changes are either less than significant, or would be subject to the same mitigation included in the Mitigated Negative Declaration and thus rendered less-than-significant.

- (3) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponent declines to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or

Evidence: No additional mitigation measures or alternative have been identified that would reduce one or more significant effects of the Project.

- (4) Mitigation measures considerably different from those analyzed in the previous environmental document would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponent declines to adopt the mitigation measures.

Evidence: The previous environmental document found that all effects of the project would be less-than-significant with adherence to included mitigation measures. No considerably different mitigation measures have been identified and none are necessary to reduce effects previously disclosed in the prior Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Passed and adopted this 12th day of December, 2012.



Keith Caldwell, NCTPA Chair

Ayes: GARCIA, BENNETT,
DUNSFORD, TEHEL,
DODD, CALDWELL,
WHITE, CHILTON, DUNBAR

Nays: NONE

Absent: BRITTON

ATTEST:



Karalyn E. Sanderlin, NCTPA Board Secretary

APPROVED:



Janice Killion, NCTPA Legal Counsel